

SAIPAN OFFICE

Second Floor, Nauru Building P.O. Box 501969 Saipan, MP 96950-1969 Telephone: (670) 234-5684/5 Fax: (670) 234-5683 E-mail: attorneys@saipan.com

HONOLULU OFFICE

Suite 2800, Pacific Tower Bishop Square, 1001 Bishop Street Honolulu, Hawaii 96813-3580 Telephone: (808) 585-8858 Fax: (808) 599-4198 E-mail: mark@sklovlaw.com

O'CONNOR BERMAN DOTTS & BANES ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SAIPAN OFFICE

www.pacific-lawyers.com

GUAM OFFICE Suite 503, Bank of Guam Bldg.

Suite 303, Bank of Cruam Bldg.
11! Chalan Santo Papa
Hagātña, Guam 96910
Telephone: (671) 477-2778
Fax: (671) 477-4366
E-mail: bermlaw@kuentos.guam.net

POHNPEI OFFICE

Second Floor, Ace Commercial Building P.O. Box 1491, Kolonia, Pohnpei, Federated States of Micronesia, 96941 Telephone: (691) 320-2868 Fax: (691) 320-3450 E-mail: bermlaw@mail.fin

August 4, 2006

Delivered by Telecopy

Heather L. Kennedy, Esq. Legal Counsel/EEO Officer Public School System P.O. Box 501370 Saipan, MP 96950

Fax: (670) 664-3798 or 664-3713

Ben DeMoux Attorney General's Office Caller Box 10007 Saipan, MP 96950 Fax No. 664-2349

Re: Lisa Black v. PSS, et al.

Dear Heather and Ben:

I have received your responses to the most recent round of my client's discovery requests. My client will have to take issue with several of your responses and I would like to make this my first attempt to "meet and confer" on these issues.

Firstly, Mr. Brewer's responses to the Third Set of Request for Production object and fail to produce for several of the requests. With regard to the grant information, it is our position that any attempt to justify my client's termination with a perceived failure to comply with grant requirements is a pretext and that will be apparent when compared to the level of compliance with other awardees (like Mr. Brewer). Also, I will direct you to our filings regarding your clients' refusal to identify documents that support their contentions as found in our pending motion to compel. Like PSS, if Mr. Brewer wishes to use specific documents to support his factual contentions at trial, he needs to identify them specifically.

Secondly, Mr. Brewer's responses to the Third Set of Interrogatories raise a few more issues. First, several responses refer to other answers and/or documents produced. This is improper under our Rules of Civil Procedure as each interrogatory must have its own independent answer. Additionally, since my client has pled a colorable claim for punitive damages as against Mr. Brewer, his financial information is discoverable.

Thirdly, as to both Mr. Brewer and PSS, the answer to the Special Interrogatory is unacceptable. Objections to document introduction can resolve now, far in advance of trial. This interrogatory was designed to streamline the process and get this done up front. This is not asking anyone to do anything that they will not do at some time. Both Defendants will have to formulate these objections and they should be handled up front instead of doing so on the fly and in front of a jury.

Finally, the answers by PSS to the interrogatories regarding the Governor's Grants are unacceptable as well. It is incredible that PSS had my client's grant information but now claims that the grant information for other teachers can only be obtained from the Governor's office. Additionally, as I explained above, since it is clear that the perceived noncompliance with grant requirements will be used as a justification for my client's termination, the relative compliance of other teachers not terminate is very relevant and discoverable.

These are the concerns that I have after a quick review of your responses. I may find more over the weekend and Mike will be bringing this all up on Monday when he meets you for Lisa's deposition. We hope to be able to resolve this then, but if not, we will file another Motion to Compel on shortened time so that these issues may be cleared up on the hearing on Thursday, August 10, 2006, as well.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call.

Very truly yours,

George L. Hasselback, Esq.